UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/597,296	10/07/2008	Robert James Nash	2245.075	8910
23405 7590 04/30/2010 HESLIN ROTHENBERG FARLEY & MESITI PC 5 COLUMBIA CIRCLE			EXAMINER	
			THOMAS, TIMOTHY P	
ALBANY, NY 12203			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1628	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/30/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/597,296	NASH ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	TIMOTHY P. THOMAS	1628		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 Jo     This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This     Since this application is in condition for allowatelessed in accordance with the practice under B	s action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4)  Claim(s) <u>43-62</u> is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) <u>43-62</u> are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
Attachment(s)  1) \( \sum \) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) \( \sum \) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)			
Notice of Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P			

Art Unit: 1628

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 43-60 and 61-62 (in part), drawn to a method of polarizing an immune response to an antigen in a subject comprising administering an adjuvant composition comprising an alkaloid of the formula depicted in claim 43.

Group II, claim(s) 61-62 (in part), drawn to a method of polarizing an immune response to an antigen in a subject comprising administering an adjuvant composition comprising an pyrrolizidine alkaloid not including the compounds of Group I.

Group III, claim(s) 61 (in part), drawn to a method of polarizing an immune response to an antigen in a subject comprising administering an adjuvant composition comprising an alkaloid compound not including the compounds of Groups I-II.

2. The groups of inventions listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

Groups I-III lack a common technical feature a priori; the alkaloid compounds administered have different core structure; the antigen(s) administered also vary widely in structure, lacking a common structural element. Additionally, Watson et al. ("Polyhydroxylated alkaloids -- natural occurrence and therapeutic applications"; 2001; Phytochemistry; 56: 265-295) teaches over one hundred polyhydroxylated alkaloids

Art Unit: 1628

have been isolated from plants and micro-organisms; these alkaloids can be potent and highly selective glycosidase inhibitors, which are potential therapeutic agents; three natural products have been widely studied for therapeutic potential (abstract); the compounds taught include Casuarine (compound of general formula of claim 43, where R is H; p. 270, Table 1; p. 274, Figure 5); doses required for beneficial effects in human disease states are generally below those causing toxicities (demonstrating administration of the compounds; p. 281, top paragraph); swainsonine is known as an immune stimulant (p. 283, section 5.2). The administration of Casurine (obvious by substitution for swainsonine) or swainsonine (anticipated) as an immune stimulant would also anticipate or render obvious any potential technical feature common to the alkaloid compounds of the Groups Since Watson previously disclosed and rendered obvious the technical feature, the technical feature lacks novelty and inventive step.

Therefore, the technical feature linking the inventions of Groups I-III does not constitute a special technical feature as defined by PCT Rule 13.2 as it does not define a contribution over the prior art. Accordingly Groups I-III are not so linked by the same or a corresponding special technical feature as to form a single inventive concept.

3. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

For any one of Groups I-III elected applicant is required to elect for each of (i)-(ii):

Application/Control Number: 10/597,296

Art Unit: 1628

(i) a single disclosed Th1-activating alkaloid compound specie within the scope of the general formula of claim 43, or within the scope of one of the classes of compounds recited in claims 61-62; elect a single compound from the compound recited in claims 59-60, or from those disclosed in the specification, (e.g., from compounds depicted on pp. 8-13 and 22-25 of the specification or named on p. 22, (a)-(j));

Page 4

(ii) each antigen specie of the vaccine administered to a single subject; elect each antigen specie administered from the antigen species recited in claims 51-52, or disclosed in the specification; and identify which of genera recited in claims 49-50 and 53-54 read on the elected specie(s);

and

## If Group I is elected, applicant is also required to elect for each of (iii)-(iv):

- (iii) each additional component specie, if any, administered to the subject; elect each component specie administered, from the species recited in claims 47-48;
- (iv) a single administration route specie, elected from the species recited in claims 57-58.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Art Unit: 1628

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowed generic claim. Currently, the following claim(s) are generic: (i) claims 43-58, 61-62; (ii) all claims; (iii) claims 43-60; (iv) claims 43-60 The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

- (i) (ii) all claims
- (iii) (iv) claims 43-60.

## REQUIREMENT FOR UNITY OF INVENTION

As provided in 37 CFR 1.475(a), a national stage application shall relate to one invention only or to a group of inventions so linked as to form a single general inventive concept ("requirement of unity of invention"). Where a group of inventions is claimed in a national stage application, the requirement of unity of invention shall be fulfilled only when there is a technical relationship among those inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features. The expression "special technical features" shall mean those technical features that define a contribution which each of the claimed inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art.

The determination whether a group of inventions is so linked as to form a single general inventive concept shall be made without regard to whether the inventions are

# WHEN CLAIMS ARE DIRECTED TO MULTIPLE CATEGORIES OF INVENTIONS

As provided in 37 CFR 1.475(b), a national stage application containing claims to different categories of invention will be considered to have unity of invention if the claims are drawn only to one of the following combinations of categories:

- (1) A product and a process specially adapted for the manufacture of said product; or
  - (2) A product and process of use of said product; or
- (3) A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and a use of the said product; or
- (4) A process and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process; or
- (5) A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process.

Otherwise, unity of invention might not be present. See 37 CFR 1.475(c).

5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the

Art Unit: 1628

requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention or species.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions have unity of invention (37 CFR 1.475(a)), applicant must provide reasons in support thereof.

Applicant may submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case.

Where such evidence or admission is provided by applicant, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 1628

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TIMOTHY P. THOMAS whose telephone number is (571)272-8994. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:30 a.m. - 5:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreenivasan Padmanabhan can be reached on (571) 272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Timothy P Thomas/ Examiner, Art Unit 1628